(ii) आने-जाने वालों के लिए रेलवे स्टेशनों का पहुच से बाहर होना ।

(iii) डी० टी० सी० फीडर सेवा की अपर्याप्तता ।

(iv) मार्गस्थ यात्रा में अधिक प्रतिक्षा/पंक्तियों में लगना जो इस समय काफी अधिक है ।

(v) दिल्ली से जाने वाली परिधीय वाहनों पर रिंग रेलवे पर ई० एम० यू० सेवा का विस्तार न होना, इस तरह से दिल्ली क्षेत्र में विस्तृत रेल नेट वर्क पर रेल सेवाओं का एक अंगभूत भाग नहीं बन पा रहा है ।

(ख) दल्वी समिति द्वारा रिंग रेलवे पर रेल सेवाओं को लोकप्रिय बनाने के लिए दिये गये सुझाव इस प्रकार थे :—

(i) रिंग रेलवे पर ई० एम० यू० सेवाओं की बारम्बारता में वृद्धि ।

(ii) रिंग रेलवे में ई० एम० यू० सेवाओं का 3 परिधीय वाहनों अर्थात् दिल्ली/नई दिल्ली से गाजियाबाद, दिल्ली/नई दिल्ली से शकूरबस्ती, दिल्ली/नई दिल्ली से पलवल जिनका पहले ही विद्युतीकरण हो चुका है, तक विस्तार ।

(iii) उन अन्य परिधीय लाइनों का विद्युतीकरण जिनका अभी विद्युतीकरण नहीं हुआ है और उन पर ई० एम० यू० सेवाएं चलाना । रिंग रेलवे पर ई० एम० यू० सेवाओं में वृद्धि करने के प्रश्न पर रेल मंत्रालय द्वारा विचार किया गया है और इस तथ्य के कारण कि रिंग रेलवे वस्तुतः मात्र यातायात को गुजारने के लिये दिल्ली से बचकर निकलनेवाली लाइन है, इसलिए ई० एम० यू० सेवाओं की बारम्बारता में वृद्धि करना व्यवहार्य नहीं पाया गया है ।

जहां तक विद्युतीकरण परिधीय लाइनों में ई० एम० यू० सेवाओं की बारम्बरता में विस्तार/वृद्धि या जिन परिधीय लाइनों का विद्युतीकरण नहीं हुआ है उनका विद्युतीकरण करना और उन पर ई० एम० यू० सेवाएं चलाने का संबंध है, यह बताया जाता है कि यह निधियों की उपलब्धता तथा इन परिधीय लाइनों की क्षमता पर भी निर्भर करेगा ।

Development of land by Everest Himalaya Cooperative Group Housing Society Ltd.

2347. SHRI RAFIQUE ALAM: Will the Minister of URBAN DEVELOPMENT be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that while alloting land in 1984 at Plot No. 8 Patpar Ganj to the Everest Himalaya Cooperative Group Housing Society Ltd., the DDA promised to develop the plot in due course;

(b) whether it is also a fact that despite several requests made to the DDA the land was not developed by them and ultimately it was developed by the Society Members themselves on the understanding that the cost would be reimbursed by the DDA;

(c) whether the cost of development has since been reimbursed to the Society by the DDA; and

(d) if not, what are the reasons for the delay and by when the Society is likely to get the amount spent by it on the development of the land as per the original agreement with the DDA?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF URBAN DEVELOPMENT (SHRI DALBIR SINGH): (a) to (d) DDA is responsible to provide peripheral services in Zone E-13 where a plot has been allotted to Everest Himalaya Cooperative Group Housing Society Ltd. along with other Societies. No development is to be done by the DDA within individual plot. As such there is no question of any agreement with or reimbursement of cost by the DDA.

Declaration of rivers as national assets

2348. SHRIMATI KRISHNA KAUL: Will the Minister of WATER RESOURCES be pleased to state:

(a) whether Government propose to declare rivers of the country as national

assets in order to end the principle of riparian states and thereby end inter-state river water disputes; and

(b) if so, the details thereof?

THE MINISTER OF WATER RESOURCES (SHRI B. SHANKARANAND): (a) No, Sir.

(b) Does not arise.

Installation of statue of Mahatma Gandhi

2349. SHRI KAPIL VERMA:
SHRIMATI VEENA VERMA:

Will the Minister of URBAN DEVELOPMENT be pleased to refer to the reply to Starred Question 251 given in the Rajya Sabha on the 3rd March, 1987 and state:

(a) what are the reasons for delay in the installation of the statue of Mahatma Gandhi in the vicinity of India Gate; and

(b) whether Government have approved of the model of the statue, if so, the details thereof?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF URBAN DEVELOPMENT (SHRI DALBIR SINGH): (a) and (b) The final decision on the installation of the statue of Mahatma Gandhi in the vicinity of India Gate was taken on 3.9.1982. The work on fabrication of the statue upto plaster cast was awarded in July 1984. The Plaster cast of the statue was to be prepared within 18 months. In exceptional circumstances; the period could be extended. There was some delay on the part of the sculptor due to an injury in his leg on account of his slipping from 15 ft. scaffolding, badly hurting his knee. The clay model has since, been approved and the work on plaster cast is in progress.

The statue shall be in Bronze of 4-1|4 times of life size. The total height shall be 16 ft. including the chowki. The height shall be taken from bottom of the base (chowki) to top of the head touching the protruding contours of the body from the back.

Kailash Cooperative Group Housing Society

2350. SHRI M. KADHARSHA: Will the Minister of URBAN DEVELOPMENT be pleased to refer to reply to Unstarred Question 209 given in the Rajya Sabha on the 13th March, 1987 and state:

(a) whether it is a fact that a member of a Cooperative Group Housing Society who owns or acquires a residential house or plot of land for construction of a residential house in any of the approved or unapproved colonies in Delhi in his own name or in the name of his spouse or any of his dependent children on lease or free hold basis cannot transfer his share;

(b) if so, what are the details of the members of the Kailash Cooperative Housing Society who have been allowed to transfer their shares on acquiring D.D.A. house or land especially in respect of Sr. Nos. 1, 6, 7, 8, 15; 16; 22; 34; 35; 37; 38 and 41 referred to in Annexure-I to the above question;

(c) the details of members of this Society who transferred their shares to minors together with the reasons for allowing transfer to such minors in cases where the members are still alive especially in respect of Sr. Nos. 7, 8, 10, and 12 referred to in Annexure-I;

(d) whether Government propose to appoint an administrator to look after the affairs of the said Society; and

(e) the details of members of Society who are not residing in Delhi for the last two years?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF URBAN DEVELOPMENT (SHRI DALBIR SINGH): (a) Yes, Sir.

(b) The transfer of membership in respect of members at Sr. Nos. 1, 6, 7, 8, 15, 16, 22, 34, 35, 37, 38 & 41 were agreed to by the society. However, the Registrar of Cooperative Societies has approved only the names of the members